



---

## COMPARE AND CONTRAST - THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVE

---

**Zilola Abdurakhmonova,**

*teacher of Philology and teaching languages: english language,  
Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan*

**Khurramova Sadoqat Khushmuhammad kizi,**

*students, Jizzakh branch of the National University of Uzbekistan*

**Annotation:** Compare and contrast is a method of analyzing and evaluating different ideas, theories, concepts, or objects by identifying their similarities and differences. This can be applied in various fields, such as literature, history, science, and business, to gain a deeper understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of each perspective or option. It can also facilitate decision-making by allowing individuals to make informed choices based on a thorough analysis. Additionally, compare and contrast can enhance communication by providing a clear and structured way to present information and ideas, making it easier for others to understand and engage with the content. Lastly, it can promote learning and understanding by encouraging critical thinking, analysis, and reflection on the topics being compared and contrasted.

**Keywords:** *promoting learning, promoting understanding, enhancing communication, decision- making , facilitating decision- making , application in various fields.*

Theoretical perspective plays a crucial role in understanding and analyzing different aspects of culture. In this thesis, we will compare and contrast two theoretical perspectives - functionalism and conflict theory - in the context of culture. Both perspectives offer valuable insights into the role of culture in society, but they approach the subject from different angles.

Functionalism, as proposed by sociologist Emile Durkheim, views culture as a system of interrelated parts that work together to maintain social stability and order. From a functionalist perspective, culture serves to meet the needs of society and ensure its smooth functioning. It emphasizes the positive functions of culture, such as providing a sense of belonging, shared values, and social cohesion. Functionalism also highlights the role of cultural institutions, such as family, education, and religion, in maintaining social equilibrium.



On the other hand, conflict theory, developed by Karl Marx and later expanded by other sociologists, focuses on the role of power and inequality in shaping culture. From a conflict perspective, culture is seen as a tool used by dominant groups to maintain their privilege and control over resources. It highlights the role of culture in perpetuating social stratification, oppression, and exploitation. Conflict theory also emphasizes the role of resistance and social movements in challenging dominant cultural norms and creating social change.

When comparing these two theoretical perspectives, it is important to note that they offer different lenses through which to understand culture. Functionalism emphasizes the stability and consensus within culture, while conflict theory highlights the role of power dynamics and social inequality. While functionalism may overlook issues of inequality and conflict within culture, conflict theory may downplay the importance of shared values and social integration.

Despite their differences, both theoretical perspectives offer valuable insights into the complexities of culture. By comparing and contrasting these perspectives, we can gain a deeper understanding of the multifaceted nature of culture and its impact on society. This analysis can also help us appreciate the diversity of cultural experiences and foster greater empathy and understanding for different cultural groups. Ultimately, by integrating multiple theoretical perspectives, we can develop a more comprehensive understanding of culture and its role in shaping society.

Compare and contrast is a method of analyzing and evaluating two or more things to identify their similarities and differences. When comparing, the focus is on identifying the similarities between the objects being compared, while when contrasting, the emphasis is on highlighting the differences.

Characteristics of compare and contrast include:

1. Identifying similarities and differences: The main goal of compare and contrast is to identify the commonalities and distinctions between the objects or concepts being compared.
2. Critical analysis: Compare and contrast involves critically analyzing the objects or concepts to gain a deeper understanding of their characteristics, functions, and significance.
3. Structured approach: Compare and contrast often follows a structured format, such as a side-by-side comparison or a point-by-point analysis, to clearly present the similarities and differences.



4. Comprehensive understanding: By comparing and contrasting, one can gain a more comprehensive understanding of the objects or concepts being analyzed, as well as their implications and impact.

5. Encouraging critical thinking: Compare and contrast encourages individuals to think critically and consider different perspectives, leading to a more nuanced understanding of the topic at hand.

6. Theoretical perspectives: Compare and contrast can be used to analyze and evaluate different theoretical perspectives within a particular field or discipline, allowing for a deeper understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of each perspective.

7. Application in various fields: Compare and contrast can be applied in various fields, including literature, history, science, and business, to analyze and evaluate different ideas, theories, concepts, or objects.

8. Facilitating decision-making: By comparing and contrasting different options, individuals can make informed decisions based on a thorough analysis of the similarities and differences between the choices available to them.

9. Enhancing communication: Compare and contrast can help individuals communicate more effectively by providing a clear and structured way to present information and ideas, making it easier for others to understand and engage with the content.

10. Promoting learning and understanding: Compare and contrast can be a valuable tool for promoting learning and understanding by encouraging individuals to engage in critical thinking, analysis, and reflection on the topics being compared and contrasted.

In conclusion, compare and contrast is a method of analyzing and evaluating different ideas, theories, concepts, or objects by identifying their similarities and differences. This can be applied in various fields, such as literature, history, science, and business, to gain a deeper understanding of the strengths and weaknesses of each perspective or option. It can also facilitate decision-making by allowing individuals to make informed choices based on a thorough analysis. Additionally, compare and contrast can enhance communication by providing a clear and structured way to present information and ideas, making it easier for others to understand and engage with the content. Lastly, it can promote learning and understanding by encouraging critical thinking, analysis, and reflection on the topics being compared and contrasted.

**Bibliography:**

1. Arguelles JC, Arguelles-Prieto R. Are the Editors Responsible for Our Obsession with the Impact Factor.
2. Asher MI, Montefort S, Bjorksten 1934-1951, London, 1964.
3. Bhandari M, Busse J, Devereaux PJ, Montori VM, Swiontkowski M, Tornetta Iii P, Einhorn TA, Khera V, Schemitsch EH. Factors associated with citation rates in the orthopedic literature.
5. Beasley R, Keil U, von Mutius E, Pearce N, Ait-Khaled N, Anabwani G, Anderson HR, Asher MI, Bjorkstein B, Burr ML, et al. Worldwide variation in prevalence A Course in Modern Linguistics, N.Y., 1960.
6. Kennedy. A. Current English, Boston, USA, 1935.
7. Mathews. M The Freshman and His Dictionary, Applied English Linguistics, ed. by Harold B. Allen, N.Y., 1964.
8. Mednikova. M. E. Seminars in English Methodology. - M., 1959
9. Miller. A. G, The science of World, 1991.
10. Муминова. Ф. М — Текст : непосредственный // Молодой ученый. — 2020. — № 18 (308).
11. Robins. H. R. General Methodology. Londol, 1966 .
12. Saffran JR, Aslin RN, Newport EL. Statistical learning by 8-month-old infants. Science. 1996-1959.
13. Schwartz GJ, Haycock GB, Edelmann CM, Spitzer A. Simple estimate of glomerular-filtration rate in children.
14. Ullmann. S. T. The Principles of Semantics. Chapter 8, Oxford, 1963.
15. Umer A, Kelley GA, Cottrell LE, Giacobbi P Jr(1988).
16. Innes KE, Lilly CL.Zimmer. E. K, Affixal Negation in English and Others Languages: An Investigation of Restricted Productivity, Supplement to Word, vo1.20, No 2, August 1964, Monograph No 5.Sherd. A. J, The words we use, Andre Deutsch, L.,
17. Zilola A., Yoqubjon M. THE FUTURE OF GLOBAL ENGLISH NEW ENGLISH THE LINGUISTIC CHARACTER OF NEW ENGLISH GRAMMAR //Journal of Academic Research and Trends in Educational Sciences. – 2023. – Т. 2. – №. 2. – С. 209-213.