WORD-FORMATION

Abdullayeva Nazokatxon To'lqin qizi *- FerSU student*
Saminova Mashhura Rafiqjon qizi *- FerSU student*
Ahmedova Matlubaxon Ibragimjonovna *- FerSU teacher*

Anotation: Word formation refers to the processes by which new words are created in a language. There are several methods and features of word formation that contribute to the expansion and evolution of a language The study of word formation is one method to improve the vocabulary in English. The average speaker typically takes the rules governing the creation of new words and their adoption nto the language for granted. This article explores these rules

Keywords: language, investigate, new, productive, adjectives.

Derivation: This involves adding affixes (prefixes and suffixes) to existing words to create new ones. For example, the noun "happiness" is derived from the adjective "happy" by adding the suffix "-ness." affixes to existing words to create new words with different grammatical forms or meanings. For example, adding the suffix "-er" to the verb "teach" creates the noun "teacher." to existing words to change their meaning or part of speech. For example, adding the prefix "un-" to the word "happy" creates the derived word "unhappy." *Compounding* This involves combining two or more complete words to form a new word. For example, the word "lighthouse" is formed by compounding the words "light" and "house." ombining two or more words together to create a new word with a new meaning. For example, combining "rain" and "coat" creates the word "raincoat." a decent summary of some of the primary word production procedures in the English language may be found in our article. Word-formation is the process by which new terms are formed in a language. That being said, word-formation does not limit itself to explaining how words are formed based solely on ideas that are foreign to us as native speakers of the language. Furthermore, it doesn't imply that each time we employ these new phrases, we "form" them cognitively. Rather, it explores both historical and contemporary methods for word creation in languages; that is, some of these methods might no longer be in use.For instance, new adjectives cannot be created with the –ly suffix in phrases like "friendly" or "ghostly." However, comprehending how the term was originally formed aids in our understanding of its link to other words. For instance, the word "ghostly" was originally derived from the stem "ghost" and the suffix "-ly." Other methods, like the ending –ness covered in this page, are still often employed in English.It's also useful to know that rules like the -ness rule for term creation are referred to as productive because they currently allow us to create new linguistic forms. The average speaker typically takes the processes by

which new words are created and the variables that determine their acceptance into the language for granted. It is not required to understand a word's construction to determine whether it is simple or complex—that is, whether it can be divided into two or more parts. When we learn what an unfamiliar word means, we can use it to express an idea or object. Back-formation: This involves creating a new word by removing what is mistakenly perceived as an affix from an existing word. For example, the verb "burgle" was formed from the noun "burglar" by removing the suffix "-ar." Clipping: This involves shortening words by removing one or more syllables. For example, "advertisement" is clipped to "ad." Blending: This involves combining parts of two or more words to create a new word. For example, combining "smoke" and "fog" creates the word "smog." Naturally, some terms are more "transparent" than others. For instance, we can identify the wellknown pattern of negative prefix + transitive word + adjective-forming suffix in the words indescribable and unfathomable, which is the foundation for many words with similar forms. The patterns allow us to easily deduce the meanings of "can not be formed" and "can not be described." However, we are not shocked to discover other terms that have similar appearances, such as "unfashionable" and "unfavorable," for which this analysis is inapplicable When the need arises, some of the word-formation techniques used in modern English can be used to create new words; these are known as productive word-formation techniques; other word-formation techniques, on the other hand, are deemed non-productive or unproductive since they are unable to create new words. For example, since the Old English era, affixation has been a useful method of word formation. The different kinds and methods of word formation that are mentioned in the linguistic literature on the subject are explained here. Affixation is a very popular method of word formation.A bound morpheme is said to be affixated when it is joined to a free morpheme, or stem. The bound morpheme can appear in the middle of the stem, after, or before the stem. Prefixes are affixes that come before the stem; the word comes from the Latin pre-, which means before. Suffixes are words that come after the stem; the word comes from the Latin sub-, which means under. Additionally, infixes are the affixes that go in the middle. In English, prefixes and suffixes are widely used. Words like "unhappy," "unlock," "preexist," "doubting," "lockable," or "lovely" are examples of pre- and suffixes, respectively. We also want to emphasize that the affixes do not become closer to the stem infixes when they are combined in a row. The process of creating words by appending derivational affixes to various base types is known as afffixation. The stems of words that make up a word cluster enter into varying degrees of derivational relations when derived words formed by affixation are the outcome of one or more applications of the word-formation rule. Simple words— words whose stem is homophoneous with a word form and frequently with a root-morpheme—are assigned the zero degree of derivation (e.g. atom, haste, devote, anxious, horror etc).

Words that are derived from two successive coining stages have the second degree of derivation (atomically, hastily, devotionally, etc.), and so on.Modes: conformity with the division of derivational affixes into suffixes and prefixes, affixation is subdivided into suffixation and prefixation. Distinction is naturally made between prefixal and suffixal derivatives according to the Last stage of derivation, which determines the nature of the derived word with its motivating source unit, e.g. unjust (un+just), justify (just+-ify), arrangement (arrange + - ment), non-smoker (non + smoker). Words like reappearance, unreasonable, denationalize, are often qualified as prefixal-suffixal derivatives.

R.S Ginzburg insists that this classification isrelevant only in terms of the constituent morphemes such words are made up of, i.e. from the angle of morphemic analysis. From the point of view of derivational analysis, such words are mostly either suffixal or prefixal derivatives, e.g. sub-atomic = sub- +(atom+ -ic), unreasonable = un- + (reason+ -able), denationalize = de- + (national+ -ize), discouragement= (dis - + courage) + - ment.Affixation is separated into suffixation and prefixation in accordance with the division of derivational affixes into suffixes and prefixes. The last stage of derivation, which establishes the nature of the derived word with its motivating source unit, naturally makes the distinction between prefixal and suffixal derivatives. Examples of such words are unjust (un+just), justify (just+-ify), arrange (arrange + - ment), and non-smoker (non + smoker). Words that are frequently classified as prefixal-suffixal derivatives include reappearance, unreasonable, and denationalize. According to R.S. Ginzburg, this classification only matters when considering the individual morphemes that make up these words, or when examining them from a morphemic analysis perspective. These terms are primarily suffixal or prefixal derivatives from the perspective of derivational analysis, for example, sub-atomic = sub- +(atom+ -ic), unreasonable = un- + (reason+ -able), and denationalize. There are key distinctions between suffixal and prefixal derivatives that have been identified by a thorough analysis of numerous examples of each. Prefixation is primarily typical of verb formation in modern English, whereas suffixation is primarily characteristic of noun and adjective formation. The distinction also stems from the part that various meanings play in the suffix and prefix's semantic structures. In suffixes, the part of the speech meaning is much more significant than in prefixes, which have it to a lesser extent. Because of this, a prefix can only be used in one aspect of speech, like in enslave, encage, or unbutton, or it can be used in multiple aspects of speech, like in over-in overkind, overfeed, or overestimation. In contrast to prefixes, suffixes is typically more autonomous emantically; for example, reading is defined as "the act of one who reads," "ability to read," and "to red again. Compounding *is* another typical method of word formation .Due to its extensive usage in technical languages; it is most likely the most prevalent in modern English. The process of

combining two or more separate words into a single word is known as compounding. Numerous instances are provided, all of which are simple to locate in the actual world. "Itself" is an example of a compound noun. I found the following examples of what I found: Default Project Architect support directory, column centerline grid, and beginning intersect point, exit light fixture symbol, and delete project menu.Although it's a little difficult, completion in English is uncommon. It comes from a historical frozen in space and time. In a nutshell, two terms that had comparable meanings in the past were generally used in distinct dialects of the language.

List of used literature:

1. A.E. Bizhkenova, S. (2017). Neologisms in Present-Day German: Investigation Into. Journal of Fundamental and Applied Science, 982-996.

2. Admin. (2012, April). Blending. Retrieved February 25, 2018, from British Council BBC: https://www.teachingenglish.org.uk/article/blending

3. Alwasilah, A. C. (2011). Beberapa Mazhab dan Dikotomi Teori Linguistik. Bandung: Angkasa.

4. Astalin, D. P. (2013). Qualitative Research Designs: A Conceptual Framework. International Journal of Social Science & Interdisciplinary Research, 119.

5. Bauer, L. (2006). Word Formation. Encyclopedia of Language&Linguistics (Second Edition),

6. Chaer, D. A. (2003). Linguistik Umum. Jakarta: PT Asdi Mahasatya.

7. Christos Pliatsikas, Linda Wheeldon, Aditi Lahiri, Peter C. Hansen. (2014). Processing of zeroderived words in English: An fMRI investiogation. Neuropsychologia (2012, April 26). Oxford

8. Dictionaries. Retrieved January 13, 2018, from © 2018 Oxford University Press:

9. CELTIC: A Journal of Culture, English Language Teaching, Literature & Linguistics

10. Dictionaries, O. (n.d.). Oxford Dictionaries. Retrieved January 13, 2018, from © 2018 Oxford

11. Harley, H. (2006). English Words: a Linguistic Introduction. 7th ed. Oxford: Blackwell.

12. Mark Aronoff, K. F. (2011). What is Morphology? 2nd Edition. UK: Wiley-Blackwell