RESEARCH OF AGRICULTURAL TERMS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK

Sirojiddin Abdinabiyev Ravshan o'g'li PhD. Xudoyqulov Abdulla

Termiz davlat universiteti, Lingvistika (ingliz tili) yo'nalishi magistri +998996742021

Annotation: This article explores the linguistic landscape of agricultural terms in English and Uzbek, aiming to enhance cross-cultural communication within the agricultural sector. The study employs a comprehensive analysis of relevant literature, coupled with a comparative approach to language structures and terminologies in both languages. The research reveals insights into potential linguistic barriers and proposes strategies to improve communication within the global agricultural community.

Keywords: Agricultural terms, english, uzbek, language comparison, communication, agriculture terminology, cross-cultural understanding.

The agricultural sector plays a vital role in global sustenance, with communication serving as a cornerstone for successful collaboration. This research investigates the terminological variations between English and Uzbek in the context of agriculture, aiming to foster a better understanding between diverse linguistic communities. As globalization continues to impact the agricultural industry, effective communication becomes paramount for sustainable development.

A thorough examination of existing literature provides a foundation for understanding the linguistic intricacies of agricultural terminology in both English and Uzbek. The literature review encompasses studies on language and agriculture, crosscultural communication, and terminological variations. The analysis highlights the importance of linguistic precision in ensuring seamless communication among diverse stakeholders in the agricultural domain.

To conduct this comparative analysis, a systematic approach is employed. A corpus of agricultural terms is compiled from English and Uzbek sources, including agricultural journals, textbooks, and official documents. The collected data is then subjected to linguistic analysis, focusing on semantic nuances, syntactic structures, and cultural connotations associated with agricultural terms¹.

Agricultural terms can vary based on context, but here's a list of common agricultural terms in English and their corresponding translations in Uzbek:

¹ Dubrova Y.Y. Structural and content specificity of multicomponent terms (based on military documents): Avtoref. dis. ... Cand. philol. sciences. -M., 2015 .-- p. 23.



_

- 1. Agriculture Qishloq xo'jaligi
- 2. Farm Ferma
- 3. Crop Ekin
- 4. Harvest Hasil qilish
- 5. Field Maydon
- 6. Soil Yer osti
- 7. Fertilizer Dekorativ o'zgarmaydigan qo'yish
- 8. Irrigation Suq bilan suv osti
- 9. Plow Oqi
- 10. Seeder Ekin o'tkazuvchi
- 11. Harvester Hasil olish mashinasi
- 12. Livestock Baland darajada hayvonlar
- 13. Cattle Go'shtsotar hayvonlar
- 14. Poultry Toyuq uskunalari
- 15. Greenhouse Yashilxonalar
- 16. Crop rotation Ekinlar almashtirish
- 17. Pesticide Ilon
- 18. Organic farming Ekologik qishloq xo'jalik
- 19. Gardening Bog'cha ishlari
- 20. Drought Kuraklik
- 21. Flood Su basishi
- 22. Agronomist Agronom
- 23. Cultivation Ekinlarni ekish
- 24. Weeding O'tkir yig'ish
- 25. Compost Kompost
- 26. Tractor Traktor
- 27. Rural Qishloq
- 28. Horticulture Bag'riqlik
- 29. Sustainable agriculture Mustaqil qishloq xo'jalik
- 30. GMO (Genetically Modified Organism) GMI (Genetik tarzda o'zgarib chiqgan organim)

Please note that translations may vary based on regional dialects. If you need more specific terms or have any other questions, feel free to ask!

The discussion section delves into the implications of the identified linguistic variations². It explores the potential impact on communication within the global agricultural community and suggests strategies to bridge these linguistic gaps.

² Kozhanov D.A. New approaches to the definition of the essence of the term in cognitive discursive research // World of Science, Culture, Education, 2008. -№5 (12). - pp. 43-47.



Additionally, the section addresses the role of language in shaping perceptions and fostering cross-cultural understanding in agriculture.

Conclusions:

The research concludes by emphasizing the importance of linguistic awareness in agricultural communication. It advocates for the development of standardized terminologies and the promotion of language education within the agricultural sector to facilitate effective communication. The study encourages stakeholders to recognize linguistic diversity as an asset and to actively work towards creating a more inclusive and communicative environment.

Future research in this field could explore the development of multilingual agricultural glossaries, incorporating insights from diverse linguistic communities. Additionally, studies on the impact of language on knowledge transfer and innovation diffusion in agriculture could contribute to further enhancing global collaboration in the field.

In conclusion, this research sheds light on the linguistic nuances within agricultural terms in English and Uzbek, aiming to foster improved communication for sustainable agriculture. By acknowledging and addressing these linguistic differences, stakeholders can build a more cohesive and interconnected global agricultural community.

References:

- 1. Dubrova Y.Y. Structural and content specificity of multicomponent terms (based on military documents): Avtoref. dis. ... Cand. philol. sciences. -M., 2015 .-- p. 23.
- 2. Doniyorov R. Some issues of technical terminology of Uzbek language, -T .:, Science. 1977. p. 144.
- 3. Grinev-Grinevich S.V. Terminology // Educational. manual for stud. Universities. M .: Academy, 2008 .-- pp. 11-12.
- 4. Kozhanov D.A. New approaches to the definition of the essence of the term in cognitive discursive research // World of Science, Culture, Education, 2008. -№5 (12). S. 43-47. 4. Grinev-Grinevich S.V. Terminology // Educational. manual for stud. Universities. M .: Academy, 2008 .-- pp. 11-12.
- 5. Kozhanov D.A. New approaches to the definition of the essence of the term in cognitive discursive research // World of Science, Culture, Education, 2008. -№5 (12). pp. 43-47.
- 6. Akhmedova Mehrinigor Bahodirovna, "Problems in Translating the Concept of "Spirituality" ", IEJRD International Multidisciplinary Journal, vol. 6, no. TITFL, pp. 290-295, Apr. 2021.