

**LINGUISTIC AND NON-LINGUISTIC SCIENCES. UNIVERSAL
FEATURES OF THE METHOD OF COMPARISON**

*Mirzamurodova Madina 3rd year students of
“Philology and Teaching languages” Djizzakh branch of the National
University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek Jizzax branch of the
National University of Uzbekistan Named after Mirzo Ulugbek.
Supervisor: Zilola Abduraxmanova*

Abstract

The method of comparison reveals itself as a universal and indispensable tool in both linguistic and non-linguistic sciences. By systematically comparing linguistic structures, cognitive processes, cultural practices, and brain functions, researchers across various disciplines gain valuable insights into the nature of human language and behavior. The interdisciplinary nature of this approach fosters collaboration and promotes a holistic understanding of human cognition and society. As scholars continue to explore the universal features of comparison, they will undoubtedly uncover new connections and enrich our understanding of the human experience. The method of comparison is a fundamental approach employed in linguistic and non-linguistic sciences to identify universal features and patterns across languages, cultures, and human behaviors. By systematically analyzing similarities and differences, researchers aim to uncover underlying principles and commonalities that transcend individual systems and contexts. This comparative method is instrumental in shedding light on the fundamental elements of human communication, cognition, and social organization.

Key words: Linguistic sciences, non-linguistic sciences, method of comparison, language complexity, empirical evidence, systematic observation, interdisciplinary collaboration, cognitive psychology, anthropology, neuroscience, comparative study, human behavior, cultural practices, interdisciplinary approach, knowledge exchange.

Introduction

Linguistic and non-linguistic sciences play a crucial role in understanding the complexities of human communication, behavior, and culture. While linguistic sciences focus on the study of language structure, usage, and evolution, non-linguistic sciences encompass a broad range of disciplines such as anthropology, psychology, sociology, and cognitive science, which explore human behavior, cognition, and social interaction. In both fields, the method of comparison serves as a fundamental tool for uncovering universal features and patterns that underlie human language and behavior across different cultures and societies. The study of human language has long been a

topic of fascination for scholars across a variety of disciplines. To better understand the complexities of language, experts in linguistics often draw upon methodologies and insights from non-linguistic sciences. This borrowing and sharing of approaches points towards the universal features of the method of comparison, a tool that is applied across various disciplines to enhance understanding. Linguistics, as a scientific study of language, encompasses various subfields such as phonetics, phonology, morphology, syntax, semantics, and pragmatics. Each of these areas of study requires rigorous methods to analyze and interpret linguistic data. In recent years, linguistic scholars have increasingly recognized the value of incorporating insights from non-linguistic sciences, such as cognitive psychology, anthropology, neuroscience, and computer science, in their analysis. One of the universal features of the method of comparison in linguistics and non-linguistic sciences is the reliance on empirical evidence and systematic observation. In the field of linguistics, researchers often compare linguistic structures across different languages to identify patterns and regularities. By applying a comparative approach, linguists can uncover universal linguistic features that are shared among diverse language systems. This method of comparison allows for the identification of underlying principles that govern human language and contributes to the development of linguistic theories.

Similarly, non-linguistic sciences utilize comparison as a fundamental tool for understanding the complexities of human behavior, cognition, and social dynamics. For instance, in cognitive psychology, researchers compare data from individuals with different cognitive abilities to discern general patterns of cognitive functioning. In anthropology, the comparative method is used to analyze cultural practices across different societies, shedding light on shared human behaviors and beliefs. In neuroscience, the comparative study of brain structures and functions across species provides valuable insights into the evolution and mechanisms of the human brain. The method of comparison also serves as a bridge between linguistic and non-linguistic sciences, facilitating interdisciplinary collaborations and the exchange of knowledge. By drawing upon insights and methodologies from diverse disciplines, researchers can gain a more comprehensive understanding of human language and its relation to other cognitive and social phenomena. Moreover, the method of comparison fosters a spirit of inquiry and openness to diverse perspectives. It encourages scholars to critically evaluate different approaches and theories, leading to the refinement and advancement of knowledge. In the context of linguistics, comparative studies allow researchers to question assumptions about the uniqueness of individual languages and challenge traditional notions of linguistic diversity. By examining cross-linguistic similarities and differences, linguists can uncover fundamental properties of human language that transcend the boundaries of specific language systems.

In linguistic sciences, the comparative method is used to investigate the historical relationships between languages, reconstruct proto-languages, and trace language evolution. Through the comparison of phonological, morphological, and syntactic structures, linguists seek to uncover universal principles that govern language structure and change. This method has led to significant discoveries, such as the Proto-Indo-European language and the formulation of language family trees, which have revolutionized our understanding of human linguistic diversity. Similarly, in non-linguistic sciences, the comparative method is employed to explore universal cognitive processes, social structures, and cultural practices across different societies. Anthropologists use cross-cultural comparisons to identify commonalities and variations in kinship systems, social hierarchies, and belief systems, revealing fundamental aspects of human society and culture. Psychologists and cognitive scientists also utilize comparative approaches to investigate cognitive universals, such as memory, perception, and decision-making, informing our understanding of human cognition and behavior.

Benefits and Challenges of the Comparative Method: The comparative approach offers several benefits in both linguistic and non-linguistic sciences. It enables researchers to identify universal features and principles that are essential for developing theories and models that transcend specific languages and cultures. Additionally, the comparative method facilitates the identification of unique and context-specific features, leading to a more comprehensive understanding of human diversity and variation. However, the comparative method also presents challenges, particularly in ensuring the validity and reliability of cross-linguistic and cross-cultural comparisons. Researchers must carefully consider the influence of historical, social, and ecological factors on the phenomena under study, as well as the potential limitations of translation and interpretation. Additionally, ethical considerations are paramount when conducting comparative research, as it is essential to respect the diversity and uniqueness of different cultures and societies.

Future Directions and Implications: As linguistic and non-linguistic sciences continue to advance, the comparative method remains a cornerstone of research, offering valuable insights into the universal features of human language, cognition, and behavior. Future directions may involve interdisciplinary collaborations that integrate linguistic and non-linguistic perspectives, enabling a more holistic approach to understanding the complexities of human communication, culture, and cognition. Furthermore, advancements in technology and data analysis tools can provide new opportunities for conducting large-scale comparative studies that contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of human universals and diversity.

Conclusion

Linguistic and non-linguistic sciences share a common reliance on the comparative method to uncover universal features and patterns of human language, cognition, and behavior. By systematically comparing different languages, cultures, and societies, researchers can illuminate fundamental principles that transcend individual systems and contexts. While the comparative approach offers invaluable insights, it also demands methodological rigor and ethical sensitivity. As the fields of linguistics and non-linguistic sciences continue to evolve, the method of comparison will remain an indispensable tool for unraveling the complexities of human communication, culture, and cognition.

References:

1. Abduraxmanova, Z., & Mamurova, M. (2021). THEORETICAL APPROACH TO SPEECH DISFLUENCIES IN SIMULTANEOUS INTERPRETATION. In МОЛОДОЙ ИССЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬ: ВЫЗОВЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ (pp. 43-45).
2. Абдурахманова, З. (2022). Analysis of pauses and interruptions as elements of linguistic production in simultaneous interpretation. *Современные инновационные исследования актуальные проблемы и развитие тенденции: решения и перспективы*, 1(1), 533-535.
3. Abduganieva, M., & Niyatova, M. (2023). WHAT IS A CONTENT IN TEACHING ENGLISH LANGUAGE?. *Theoretical Aspects in the Formation of Pedagogical Sciences*, 2(11), 157–162. извлечено от <http://econferences.ru/index.php/tafps/article/view/6941>
4. Ахмедова С. Р. Таълим ва тарбия жараёнлари узвийлигининг самардорлиги // *Science and Education*. – 2021. – Т. 2. – №. 9. – С. 369-373.
5. Ахмедова С. Р. и др. Инновацион технологияларни таълим жараёнларига татбиқ этиш йўллари // *Science and Education*. – 2021. – Т. 2. – №. 10. – С. 492-496.
6. Akhmedova, S. (2022). STRUCTURAL CHARACTERISTICS OF APPLIED ELEMENTS IN THE GERMAN LANGUAGE. *Science and innovation*, 1(B5), 94-97.
7. Ахмедова, С. Р. (2022). Эга шаклида ифодаланган мураккаб тузилишли иловали элементларнинг таҳлилини ўрганиш. *Science and Education*, 3(4), 1963-1966.
8. Akhmedova Sevara Rakhmankulovna. (2022). NEMIS TILIDA ILOVALI ELEMENTLARINING SEMANTIKA O'ZIGA XOSLIKLARI. *International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research*, 1(2), 481–485.
9. Khusanova, M. (2022). Learning new content material through cooperative group discussions. *European Scholar Journal*, 3, 44-45.

10. Ma'ripov J. ANTROPOSENTRIZM–TILSHUNOSLIKNING ZAMONAVIY YONALISHI SIFATIDA //Zamonaviy dunyoda innovatsion tadqiqotlar: Nazariya va amaliyot. – 2022. – T. 1. – №. 28. – C. 62-68.

11. Ma'ripov J. K. A BRIEF INFORMATION ABOUT TENSES //O‘ZBEKISTON RESPUBLIKASI OLIY VA O‘RTA. – C. 464.

12. M. Khusanova. Didactic conditions for the formation of discussion competence among students. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Analysis*. Volume-04, June-2021. P. 742-743.

13. Mokhira, K. (2023). THE IMPORTANCE OF DIFFERENT SUB–SKILLS THAT ARE INVOLVED IN PARTICIPATING IN A GROUP DISCUSSION. *International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research*, 496-499.

14. Mokhira, K. (2023). HOLISTIC SCORING AS A GRADING METHOD. *International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research*, 493-495.

15. Niyatova Maftuna, Abdurasulova Diyora. (2023). ENGLISH DOCUMENTS WRITING. *International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research*, 423–430. Retrieved from <https://journal.jbnuu.uz/index.php/ijcstr/article/view/629>

16. Niyatova, M. (2021). *The importance of a word and word formation a language system*.

17. Niyatova Maftuna, Sayfullayeva Sevinch, Haydarqulova Sarvinoz, Makhmudova Adolat, Muminova Zukhra. (2023). THE ROLE OF PAIR WORK IN TEACHING THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE. *International Journal of Contemporary Scientific and Technical Research*, 427–431. Retrieved from <https://journal.jbnuu.uz/index.php/ijcstr/article/view/474>

18. Niyatova, M. (2021). PECULIARITIES OF PREFIXAL DERIVATIVES IN LANGUAGE LEVELS . *Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики*, 2(3). извлечено от <https://fll.jdpu.uz/index.php/fll/article/view/954>

19. Niyatova, M. (2023). EFFECTIVENESS OF GROUP WORK IN TEACHING A FOREIGN LANGUAGE. *Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики*, 5(5). извлечено от <https://phys-tech.jdpu.uz/index.php/fll/article/view/7780>

20. Ниятова, М. (2022). Significant points on assessment procedure. *Современные инновационные исследования актуальные проблемы и развитие тенденции: решения и перспективы*, 1(1), 587–589. извлечено от <https://inlibrary.uz/index.php/zitdmrt/article/view/5345>

21. Solnyshkina, M. I. (2022). Teaching English through innovative technologies. *Web of Scientist: International Scientific Research Journal*, 3(11), 1108-1111.

22.Teshaboyeva, N. Z., & Niyatova, M. N. (2021). The important meanings of category of tenses in contexts.“. Zamonaviy tadqiqotlar, innovatsiyalarning dolzarb muammolari va rivojlanish tendensiyalari: yechimlar va istiqbollar” Respublika miqyosidagi ilmiy-amaliy konferensiya materiallari toplami, 468-473.

23.Teshaboyeva, N. Z., & Niyatova, M. N. (2021). General meanings of the category of tenses. *International Journal of Development and Public Policy*, 1(6), 70-72.

24.Teshaboyeva, N. Z., & Niyatova, M. N. (2022). The significant role of literature in teaching and learning process. *International Journal of Development and Public Policy*, 1(6), 70-72.

25.Teshaboyeva, N. Z., & Niyatova, M. N. (2021). The important meanings of category of tenses in contexts.“. Zamonaviy tadqiqotlar, innovatsiyalarning dolzarb muammolari va rivojlanish tendensiyalari: yechimlar va istiqbollar” Respublika miqyosidagi ilmiy-amaliy konferensiya materiallari toplami, 468-473.

26.Teshaboyeva, N. Z., & Niyatova, M. N. (2021). General meanings of the category of tenses. *International Journal of Development and Public Policy*, 1(6), 70-72.

27.Teshaboyeva, N. Z., & Niyatova, M. N. (2021). The importance of a word and word formation in a language system. *JournalNX-A Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal*, 7(12), 337-341.